WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004684

	Box	· No	o. I Basis of the opinion				
1.	Witl the	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		lan	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ider Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.		With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:						
	[]	a sequence listing				
	. [table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. format of material:						
	. [_	in written format				
		_	in computer readable form				
	c. ti	me	of filing/furnishing:				
	[contained in the international application as filed.				
	E		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	[]-	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		has	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				
1	Additional comments:						

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Corrected version

	REC'D	1	1	AUG	2005	
> (WIFO	_			PCT	

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43*bis*.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/GB2004/004684

08.11.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

Applicant

A01K97/06

- This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
 - ☑ Box No.¹

Basis of the opinion

- ☐ Box No. II
 - · Priority

FISHERMAN'S FRIEND PRODUCTS LIMITED

- Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III
- ☐ Box No. IV
- Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V
- Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI
- Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII
- Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for International preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever explres later.

For further options, see Form PCT/iSA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

Been, M

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3932

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004684

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
The	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application,							
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 55							
because:								
. 🗆	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):							
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 55							
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:							
	the written form		has not been furnished					
	·		does not comply with the standard					
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, on the comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
⊠	See separate sheet for further details							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004684

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

5,8-12,14,15,23,24,27-30,36,44,49-52

No: Claims

Claims

1-4,6,7,13,16-22,25,26,31-35,37-43,45-48,53,54

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

5,8-12,27-30,44

No:

1-4,6,7,13-26,31-43,45-54

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-54

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item III.

Claim 55 is unclear (Article 6 PCT) because it relies on references to the drawings and the description in respect of the technical features of the invention (see Rule 6.2(a) PCT).

Re Item V.

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 4 796 380 A (BEESE ET AL) 10 January 1989

D2: US 6 209 255 B1 (MARKOWITZ PAUL) 3 April 2001

D3: US-A-2 220 817 (HOLMES CLYDE E) 5 November 1940

D4: US-A-2 831 289 (KLINGHOFFER MAX) 22 April 1958

D5: US-A-2 447 105 (VOGEL LOUIS W) 17 August 1948

D6: WO 95/24122 A (BJOERSHOL, KOLBJOERN) 14 September 1995

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

- 2.1. Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) a fishing hook holder (10) comprising a holder part (20) for receiving a hook (22), the holder part being arranged so that a hook can at least partially straddle the holder part (see figure 3).
- 2.2. All the technical features of independent claim 1 are known from this one document D1 and the subject-matter of said claim can therefore not be regarded as new (Article 33(1) and (2) PCT).
- 2.3. Moreover, documents D2 to D6 also disclose a fishing hook holder comprising all the technical features of independent claim 1. The subject-matter of this claim lacks therefore also novelty according to Article 33(1) and (2) PCT with regard to D2 to D6.

3. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 37

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 37 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

3.1. Document D2 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) a receptacle (10) for a fishing hook holder (40) according to paragraph 2.1 wherein the receptacle comprises one or more fishing hook holder sites (see figures 1, 8, 9b, 10).

- 3.2. All the technical features of independent claim 37 are also known from this one document D2 and the subject-matter of said claim can therefore not be regarded as new (Article 33(1) and (2) PCT).
- 3.3. Moreover, documents D3 and D5 also disclose a receptacle for a fishing hook holder comprising all the technical features of independent claim 37. The subject-matter of this claim lacks therefore also novelty according to Article 33(1) and (2) PCT with regard to D3 and D5.

4. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 53

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 53 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

- 4.1. Documents D1 and D2 disclose a method of making a fishing hook holder according to paragraph 2.1 comprising the step of moulding the fishing hook holder.
- 4.2. The subject-matter of said claim can therefore not be regarded as new (Article 33(1) and (2) PCT) with regard to D1 and D2.
- 5. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-4, 6, 7, 13-26, 31-36, 38-43, 45-52, 54
 Dependent claims 2-4, 6, 7, 13-26, 31-36, 38-43, 45-52, 54 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT). The reasons therefore are that the additional features of the said claims are either directly known from document D1 (for claims 4, 6, 7, 13, 16, 18-22, 26, 54), from D2 (for claims 2, 3, 16, 26, 31-35, 39, 40, 45-48, 54), from D3 (for claims 25, 38-43), from D4 (for claims 16-22), or they concern only minor modifications which lie within the normal practice of the man skilled in the art (for claims 14, 15, 23, 24, 36, 49-52).

6. DEPENDENT CLAIMS 5, 8-12, 27-30, 44

The combination of the features of dependent claims 5, 8-12, 27-30, 44 are neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. The prior published documents cited in the International Search Report, either taken individually or in combination, do not disclose, suggest or reasonably lead the person skilled in the art to design a fishing hook holder according the combination of technical features of

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/004684

dependent claims 5, 8-12, 27-30 and a receptacle for such fishing hook holder according to the combination of technical features of dependent claim 44.